Digital Games and the Category of Auteur: A Re-Evaluation and Perspectives

Filip Jankowski

Jagiellonian University in Kraków Przemiarki 15/140 30-384 Kraków, Poland +48797947762 filip.jankowski@uj.edu.pl

EXTENDED ABSTRACT

Digital games are mainly collective works (Schreier 2017). Still, there have beenattempts at applying the 'auteur' theory, borrowed from art and film studies, to digital games (Aarseth 2004; Demirbas 2008; Staszenko 2015). Admittedly, the 'auteur' theory, tracing the personal interference in multiple (audio)visual works, was criticized for its conservative or even far-right roots (Grosoli 2014), as well as for legitimizing the toxic industrial culture and abuse of minor employees for the sake of making art (Oliva 2021, 144–45). Film theory, focused on the fetishistic treatment of directors instead of screenwriters (Sarris 1962/2004; Kael 1971), also influenced the understanding of digital games auteurism; directors were replaced by great design personalities like Peter Molyneux, Sid Meier, and Will Wright, regardless of their actual involvement in the production process. The usual discourse around their games produces 'auteurs' from the 'upper-middle-class, white, male, straight, able-bodied, cisgender, Western' communities (Oliva 2021, 145).

This speech aims to challenge the traditional understanding of the ludic 'auteur' theory without dismantling it entirely. The paper's author defines an 'auteur' contextually:

- 1. The 'auteur' category could be applied both to individual game creators and small groups of constantly cooperating persons (like Auriea Harvey and Michaël Samyn's Tale of Tales).
- 2. The 'auteur' category could encompass developers who occupied diverse posts (designers, programmers, directors) during game development if their output is relatively coherent in design, graphic style, or implied message. This distinction postulates resigning from searching for only one author of a particular work and reducing 'auteurism' to one unbridgeable post.
- 3. An authorial signature, or placing the authors themselves in their works (Bordwell 2007, 211), could be another factor that underlines their attachment to co-created work.

As a case study that would indicate the perspectives of the 'auteur' theory, the output of Meg Jayanth was chosen. Jayanth, as an Indian-British female coming outside the European cultural circle, escapes the traditional understanding of the game 'auteurs'. Besides her purely authorial yet still unfinished post-colonial text game *Samsara* (Failbetter Games, 2010), Jayanth commissioned scripts for various works directed by

Proceedings of DiGRA 2023

© 2023 Authors & Digital Games Research Association DiGRA. Personal and educational classroom use of this paper is allowed, commercial use requires specific permission from the author.

other persons, e.g. 80 Days (Inkle Ltd., 2014), Sunless Sea (Failbetter Games, 2015), Horizon Zero Dawn (Guerrilla Games, 2017), Sunless Skies (Failbetter Games, 2019), Falcon Age (Outerloop Games, 2019), and Sable (Raw Fury, 2021). Nonetheless, Jayanth's output can be distinguished due to her attempts to criticize patriarchal values (Horizon Zero Dawn) and Western colonialism (80 Days, Sunless Skies), as well as to embrace the nomadic lifestyle (Sunless Sea, Sable). Furthermore, some games co-written by Jayanth implement the social-constructionist re-writing of colonial history to incorporate more fictional characters of diverse skin colours, nationality, and gender (80 Days, Falcon Age). Jayanth also put her avatars – authorial signatures – in some co-created games (80 Days, Sunless Skies). Therefore, although Jayanth was by no means the main author of the games mentioned here, her output is consistent in motives and creative workshop and allows us to consider her an 'auteur' (or 'autrice').

The research results allow for using the 'auteur' theory for the broader circle of developers than game journalists have promoted. While the 'auteur' theory's foundations may sound problematic, the category itself can be re-taken to signify the presence of underprivileged developers.

KEYWORDS

auteur theory, digital games, game design, Meg Jayanth, game developers

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Aarseth, Espen. 2004. "The Game and Its Name: What Is a Game Auteur?" In *Visual Authorship: Creativity and Intentionality in Media*, edited by Torben Kragh Grodal, Bente Larsen, and Iben Thorving Laursen, 261–69. Copenhagen: Museum Tusculanum Press.

Bordwell, David. 2007. Narration in the Fiction Film. Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.

Demirbas, Yavuz Kerem. 2008. *Towards a New Understanding of Games: Auteur Game Criticism*. Master's Thesis, Copenhagen: ITU. https://bit.ly/37YNvhP.

Failbetter Games. 2010. Samsara. Web. Failbetter Games.

——. 2015. Sunless Sea	. Windows.	United Kingdom:	Failbetter	Games.
		•		

———. 2019. Sunless Skies. Windows. United Kingdom: Failbetter Games.

Grosoli, Marco. 2014. "The Politics and Aesthetics of the 'politique des auteurs." *Film Criticism* 39 (1): 33–50.

Guerrilla Games. 2017. *Horizon Zero Dawn*. PlayStation 4. Netherlands and United States: Sony Computer Entertainment America.

Inkle Ltd. 2014. 80 Days. Windows. United Kingdom: Inkle Ltd.

Kael, Pauline. 1971. "Raising Kane." *The New Yorker*, no. 8 (February). https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/1971/02/20/raising-kane-i.

Oliva, Mercè. 2021. "Masterpiece! Auteurism and european videogames." In *Perspectives on the European videogame*, edited by Víctor Navarro-Remesal and Óliver Pérez-Latorre, 131–50. Bristol: Bristol University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/9789048550623.008.

Outerloop Games. 2019. Falcon Age. Windows. United Kingdom: Outerloop Games.

Raw Fury. 2021. Sable. Windows. United Kingdom: Shedworks.

Sarris, Andrew. (1962) 2004. "Notes on the Auteur Theory in 1962." In *Film Theory and Criticism*, edited by Leo Braudy and Cohen Marshall, 561–64. New York: Oxford University Press.

Schreier, Jason. 2017. Blood, Sweat, and Pixels: The Triumphant, Turbulent Stories Behind How Video Games Are Made. First edition. New York London Toronto Sydney: Harper.

Staszenko, Dominika. 2015. "Lollipop Chainsaw Goichiego Sudy i problem autorstwa w medium gier wideo." *Homo Ludens* 7 (2): 153–62.